

Research Article

Open Access

Cmmon Cause of Low Frequency Sensorineural Hearing Loss

MacMillan Juhani and Woo B

Department of Otolaryngology, Tampere University Hospital, PO Box 2000, FIN-33521, Tampere, Finland

***Corresponding Author:** MacMillan Juhani, Department of Otolaryngology, Tampere University Hospital, PO Box 2000, FIN-33521, Tampere, Finland

Citation: Cmmon Cause of Low Frequency Sensorineural Hearing Loss. Am J Rhin and Otolo. 2019; 1(1): 001-009.

Submitted: 08 February 2019; Approved: 16 February 2019; Published: 18 February 2019

Abstract

Non-syndromic low frequency sensorineural hearing loss (LFSNHL) affecting only 2000 Hz and below is an unusual type of hearing loss that worsens over time without progressing to profound deafness. This type of LFSNHL may be associated with mild tinnitus but is not associated with vertigo. We have previously reported two families with autosomal dominant LFSNHL linked to adjacent but non-overlapping loci on 4p16, DFNA6 and DFNA14. However, further study revealed that an individual with LFSNHL in the DFNA6 family who had a recombination event that excluded the DFNA14 candidate region was actually a phenocopy, and consequently, DFNA6 and DFNA14 are allelic. LFSNHL appears to be genetically nearly homogeneous, as only one LFSNHL family is known to map to a different chromosome (DFNA1). The DFNA6/14 critical region includes WFS1, the gene responsible for Wolfram syndrome, an autosomal recessive disorder characterized by diabetes mellitus and optic atrophy, and often, deafness. Herein we report five different heterozygous missense mutations (T699M, A716T, V779M, L829P, G831D) in the WFS1 gene found in six LFSNHL families. Mutations in WFS1 were identified in all LFSNHL families tested, with A716T arising independently in two families. None of the mutations was found in at least 220 control chromosomes with the exception of V779M, which was identified in 1/336 controls. This frequency is consistent with the prevalence of heterozygous carriers for Wolfram syndrome estimated at 0.3–1%. An increased risk of sensorineural hearing loss has been reported in such carriers. Therefore, we conclude that mutations in WFS1 are a common cause of LFSNHL.

INTRODUCTION

Non-syndromic sensorineural hearing loss affecting high frequencies is overall a common disorder known to be genetically heterogeneous. In contrast, low frequency sensorineural hearing loss (LFSNHL) is an unusual type of hearing loss in which frequencies at 2000 Hz and below are predominantly affected. Many patients with LFSNHL have tinnitus which is not particularly bothersome, but there are otherwise no associated features such as vertigo (1–3). Because high frequency hearing is generally preserved, LFSNHL patients retain excellent understanding of speech, although presbycusis or noise exposure may cause high frequency loss later in life. Consequently, LFSNHL is often asymptomatic, and many patients choose not to wear hearing aids. Although over 70 loci have been mapped in various types of non-syndromic sensorineural hearing loss, only a few (DFNA1, DFNA6 and DFNA14) are associated with LFSNHL. LFSNHL linked to DFNAl is caused by mutations in D1APH1, the homolog of Drosophila diaphanous (4). In contrast to LFSNHL associated with DFNA6/14, all affected individuals in the DFNAl family become profoundly deaf by the fourth decade of life (4,5).

DFNA6 was mapped in a large kindred from the United States (Family 59) with autosomal dominant LFSNHL (1,3), and DFNA14 was mapped in a family (Family T) of similar phenotype from The Netherlands (2.6). Previously, we reported that DFNA6 and DFNA14 map to adjacent but nonoverlapping regions on 4pl6, separated by 1.3 cM (6). We have subsequently identified two additional families withLFSNHL linked to DFNA6/14 (unpublished data). Thus, with the exception of one DFNA1 family, all described LFSNHL families are linked to 4p16.

WFS1, the gene responsible for Wolfram syndrome type 1, is located on 4p 16 near marker D4S

431 and is thus, a possible candidate gene (7,8). Wolfram syndrome is also known as DIDMOAD to denote the association of diabetes insipidus, diabetes mellitus, optic atrophy and deafness (9). Juvenile- onset diabetes mellitus and bilateral progressive optic atrophy are the minimal diagnostic criteria for Wolfram syndrome (10). Other features include renal tract abnormalities, ataxia, peripheral neuropathy, mental retardation and psychiatric illness (11,12).

Hearing loss associated with Wolfram syndrome is typically a high frequency sensorineural hearing loss, although low frequencies may become affected as well (13,14). Hearing loss confined to the low frequencies is not described in association with Wolfram syndrome, although many reports do not specify the frequencies affected. The age of onset is typically between 5 and 15 years, similar to the age of onset of hearing loss associated with DFNA6 and DFNA14 (11).

It has been suggested that heterozygous carriers in Wolfram syndrome families are predisposed to hearing loss (15). Such carriers may be fairly common in the general population, with an estimated prevalence between 0.28 and 1% (9,11). Thus, we investigated whether or not heterozygous mutations in WFS1 cause non-syndromic LFSNHL in families linked to DFNA6/14. In addition, because of the near genetic homogeneity for LFSNHL, we also studied probands with LFSNHL from small families w'ithout a priori evidence of linkage to 4pl6.

RESULTS

DFNA6 and DFNA14 are allelic

Considering that LFSNHL is relatively rare, we found it perplexing that two families with very similar phenotypes were found to map to adjacent but non-overlapping loci. To investigate this further, we expanded the pedigree of Family 59. The audiogram of a newly ascertained individual with LFSNHL typical of the family is shown (Fig. 1). The haplotype analysis of key individuals in Family 59 for markers on 4p 16 is presented in Figure 2.

A key recombination event, shared by II:1, II:3 and III:1, occurs between D4S827 and D4S431, defining a centro-meric boundary. III:7, who was not available for the previous study, is recombinant at D4S2354 and telomeric, phase unknown for D4S827 and D4S431, and non-recombinant for D4S2366 and centromeric markers. These recombinations define a candidate region of 600 kb, between D4S2354 and D4S431, overlapping the DFNA14 interval.



Figure 1: Pure tone audiogram of 24-year-old female (111:7) from Family 59 with LFSNHL typical of DFNA6/14 phenotype. Circles, air conduction right ear; crosses, air conduction left ear. Masked bone conduction curves follow air conduction curves (not shown).

These findings contradicted previous results (3) based on data from individual 111:4 (Fig. 2). Because III:4 was classified as affected prior to linkage analysis, the recombination event between D4S412 and D4S432 places the DFNA6 interval telomeric to D4S432. The telomeric boundary of DFNA 14, D4S3023, is 1.3 cM proximal to D4S432, and thus, the results from III:4 implied that DFNA6 and DFNA14 did not overlap (6). Ascertainment of III:7, who is unambiguously affected, implied that III:4 may not be truly affected, since the recombination events would predict mutually exclusive intervals. Therefore, even though LFSNHL is rare and the chance of a phenocopy unlikely, we concluded that 111:4 is a phenocopy and that DFNA6 is allelic with DFNA 14. Since penetrance is complete (3), the recombination event in unaffected individual 111:5 supports this interpretation (Fig. 2).

Cite this article: Cmmon Cause of Low Frequency Sensorineural Hearing Loss. Am J Rhin and Otolo. 2019; 1(1): 001-009.

Frequency in Hertz (Hz)



Figure 2: Haplotype analysis of key individuals in Family 59 for markers on 4pl6 (telomeric to centromeric). Shaded symbols indicate those affected with LFSNHL. Open symbols indicate normal hearing. Bars indicate haplotypes with solid black bars denoting haplotype shared by affected family members. Solid black line in haplotype indicates indeterminate phase. Centromeric boundary is defined by a recombination event between D4S827 and D4S431 (II:1,II:3,III:1). The telomeric boundary is defined by a recombination event between D4S2354 and D4S827 (III:7). III:4 is shaded consistent with her affection status prior to linkage analysis, but III:4 does not share the haplotype common to the other affected individuals at D4S432 and below. Note that III:4 and her unaffected sister III:5 share the same maternal haplotype.

Identification of other LFSNHL families

By combining the databases from the University of Michigan, The Rockefeller University and the University of Antwerp, additional families with non-syndromic LFSNHL were ascertained (Fig. 3). Family W (Fig. 3A), a Dutch family with autosomal dominant LFSNHL, segregates a common haplotype at 4p 16 (data not shown). Family 35, of Irish descent, has autosomal dominant LFNSHL linked to 4p 16, with a multipoint LOD score of 4.2 (Fig. 3B). The proband of Family 19 (Fig. 3C) has moderately severe bilateral LFSNHL with documented progression. Audiologic evaluation confirmed LFSNHL in the proband's aunt and daughter, who was not aware of her hearing loss until she participated in this study. The proband of Family 21 is a child with unilateral LFSNHL and both parents are unaffected (Fig. 3D).

WFSI mutations are a common cause of low frequency hearing loss

Next, we analyzed DNA from LFSNHL patients from families without a priori evidence of linkage to DFNA6/14. SSCP variants in exon 8 for Families 19 and 21 were sequenced. A heterozygous missense mutation 2662G \rightarrow A (G831D) was found in the proband of Family 19 (Fig. 4C), her aunt and her daughter, and 2505G \rightarrow A (V779M) was identified in the proband of Family 21 (Fig. 4D). All five novel mutations are heterozygous missense transitions.

At least 220 control chromosomes were assayed for each variant (Table 1). Only one mutation, V779M, was found in any control. V779M abolishes a restriction site for HpyCH4III, and one of 90 anonymous controls (20) was heterozygous for the mutation.

DISCUSSION

Autosomal dominant, non-syndromic LFSNHL associated with DFNA6/14 is delayed onset, completely penetrant and slowly progressive (1–3,6). Whereas aging, noise exposure or drug toxicity can cause high frequency sensorineural hearing loss, LFSNHL appears to most commonly have a genetic etiology. The same phenotype has been described in other families with autosomal dominant inheritance (21,22). A series of 41 Japanese patients with LFSN-HL included 10 familial cases (23), and 33% of 39 Danish patients with LFSNHL had a positive family history of hearing loss (24). LFSNHL is genetically homogeneous with the exception of a single DFNA1 family with a more severe phenotype (4).

We have identified WFSI as the gene responsible for LFSNHL in autosomal dominant families as well as sporadic cases. Extensive clinical evaluation of

the families prior to molecular genetic analysis confirmed a non-syndromic hearing loss without associated features segregating with the hearing loss. Whereas it was not possible to specifically exclude the features of all possible hearing loss syndromes in the subjects prior to gene identification, it is clear that the affected subjects in this study do not have Wolfram syndrome, since none report juvenile-onset diabetes mellitus or optic atrophy.

The coding sequence of WFSI is 3628 bp arranged in eight exons of which the first is non-coding (7,8). Most mutations are found to affect exon 8. by far the largest (2609 bp). Wolfram syndrome is genetically heterogeneous, as some patients with Wolfram syndrome lack mutations in WFSI (7). A second locus (WFS2) has been mapped to 4q22–24 (25), which might be a candidate locus for other deafness genes. Because of genetic heterogeneity and the frequency of non- synonymous variants in the general population, the significance of missense mutations may be difficult to interpret.

A significant proportion of Wolfram syndrome patients are homozygous for WFSI null alleles (16,17). Several Wolfram syndrome patients have been found to be compound heterozygotes for a null allele and a missense mutation (7,8,16). Homozygous missense mutations in WFSI have rarely been reported in Wolfram syndrome patients. Known examples include two affected siblings homozygous for P724L (8), and one patient homozygous for P885L, five amino acids from the C-terminus (16).

Whereas most features of Wolfram syndrome are seen only in homozygotes, heterozygous carriers of Wolfram syndrome have an increased incidence of psychiatric illness, including severe depression, suicide attempts, psychosis, dementia and violent behavior (12,26,27). Several missense mutations in WFSI have been identified in patients with isolated psychiatric disorders but not in normal controls (17). Whereas LFSNHL patients in this study were not specifically evaluated for psychiatric illness, psychological testing and a comprehensive self-report questionnaire excluded psychiatric symptoms in the majority of Family 59 (1).

The five mutations presented here have not been previously described in the literature or in controls with one exception. In our study, V779M was found in 1/336 control chromosomes. Because the positive control is anonymous, no phenotypic information such as hearing status is available (20). Given the expected frequency of Wolfram syndrome carriers in the general population (9,11), finding a mutation at a very low frequency rate among controls is not unexpected. Such carriers have been shown to have an increased risk of hearing loss, although the hearing loss did not segregate as a Men delian trait in the family studied (15). Detailed audiometric and psychiatric evaluation of heterozygous carriers of Wolfram syndrome would be useful to draw genotype-phenotype correlations.

WFSI encodes a protein of 890 amino acids, wolframin, with nine putative transmembrane domains. Wolframin lacks homology to other known proteins, and its function is currently unknown (7,8). A role in protein sorting or trafficking is hypothesized, as wolframin has been localized to the endoplasmic reticulum in cultured cells (28). WFSI is ubiquitously expressed, but brain expression is concentrated in certain populations of neurons, including the ventral cochlear nucleus and inferior colliculus. Whereas WFSI expression in the cochlea would be expected, given that mutations in WFSI cause both non-syndromic LFSNHL as well as hearing loss associated with Wolfram syndrome, the expression pattern of WFSI in the peripheral auditory system is not currently known. Neuropathologic studies of Wolfram syndrome revealed loss of nerve fibers in the cochlear nerves as well as mild neuronal loss and gliosis in the auditory brainstem (29). Further studies are necessary to determine why the mutations described herein selectively affect low frequency hearing, whereas the hearing loss associated with Wolfram syndrome has been reported to affect high frequencies.

Whereas mutations that cause Wolfram syndrome in the recessive state may occur nearly anywhere in the protein, all mutations in LFSNHL families were found in the portion of exon 8 that encodes the C-terminal domain. None of the mutations that cause hearing loss involves the N-terminal domain or any of the nine putative transmembrane domains. Current models of the wolframin protein predict a membrane topology in which the C-terminus is located on the cytoplasmic side of the endoplasmic reticulum membrane (16). Since the function of the wolframin protein remains completely unknown, the mechanism by which these mutations cause isolated low frequency hearing loss is yet unknown. However, we would predict that replacing a leucine with a proline would severely disrupt any secondary structure of the protein, and replacing the smallest amino acid, glycine, with a charged residue like aspartic acid would likewise be deleterious. All five of the amino acids affected by these mutations are conserved in human, rat and mouse, and both 829Leu and 799Val are conserved in Drosophila. These mutations might act in a dominant-negative fashion by interfering with or enhancing the specific function of the C-terminal domain, such as protein phosphorylation or protein-protein interactions.

The A716T mutation was found in both Family W and Family 35 and likely arose independently,

since the alleles carrying the mutations have different nucleotides at three nearby SNPs. Three mutations (A716T, V779M, T699M) occurred in CpG nucleotides, which are known to be highly mutable in the human genome (30). It is unknown whether or not these mutations found in LFSNHL patients would cause the complete Wolfram syndrome in the homozygous state.

Despite their family history, many family members were not aware of their hearing loss until noise exposure or aging caused loss of hearing in the high frequencies as well. Because high frequencies important for speech discrimination are preserved, isolated LFSNHL may be otherwise asymptomatic. LFSNHL is difficult to diagnose by routine newborn screening methods, as typical screening protocols utilize stimuli of 2000 Hz and above. For these reasons, we suspect that LFSNHL is likely more frequent in the general population than currently recognized.

We have defined a subset of non-syndromic sensorineural hearing loss affecting the low frequencies without progression to profound deafness in which all individuals studied were found to have WFS1 mutations. Thus, we conclude that mutations in WFS1 are a common cause of low frequency sensorineural hearing loss.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Patients

Four families with autosomal dominant non-syndromic LFSNHL had a priori evidence of linkage to 4pl6: Families 59 (1,3) and 35 (unpublished data) from the United States, and Families T (2,6) and W (unpublished data) from The Netherlands. Probands with LFSNHL from two additional families (Families 19 and 21) were identified without previous evidence of linkage to 4pl6. The Institutional Review Board of each institution approved these studies and written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Genetic mapping

Patient DNA was prepared using standard methodology from peripheral lymphocytes or buccal epithelial cells (PureGene, Gentra). For fine mapping in Family 59, we genotyped polymorphic markers on 4p 16.3–4p 16.1: D4S169, D4S227, D4S43, D4S127, D4S412, D4S432, D4S3023. D4S2285, D4S2354, D4S827, D4S2354, D4S431, D4S2366, D4S2935, D4S394 and D4S3009. Marker locations were specified according to databases at the Whitehead Institute for Genomic Research, the National Center of Biotechnology Information and the Genome Database web sites. Polymorphisms were amplified by PCR with $[\gamma$ -32P]ATP-labeled oligonucleotide primers. PCR reactions consisted of 20 ng o f DNA template, 1 µl of l × PCR buffer II (Perkin Elmer), 0.8 µl each of 10 mM of each dNTP, 0.125 µal of $20 \,\mu\text{M}$ each forward and reverse primer, 0.6 μ tl of 25 mM MgCl2 and 0.05 µl (0.25 U) of AmpliTaq Gold® DNA polymerase (Perkin Elmer), in a final volume of 10 µal. Conditions used for PCR were 93°C for 3 min, then 35 cycles of 93°C for 1 min, 58–62°C for 30s, 72°C for 30 s and 72°C for 10 min. An aliquot of 1 ^tl of each reaction was mixed with 2 µl of stop formamide buffer (87% formamide, 20 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.05% bromphenol blue, 0.05% xylene cyanol), denaturated for 5 min at 98°C and chilled on ice. An aliquot of 1 μ l of each sample was loaded on a 6% polyacrylamide gel containing 42% urea and run at 60 W for 2-3 h. Gels were dried and exposed to Kodak X-OMAT film at room temperature overnight.

SSCP analysis

For Families 59, 19 and 21, all coding exons (2– 8) of WFS1 were screened for variants by SSCP. Thirteen DNA fragments corresponding to each of exons 2–7 and to seven parts of exon 8 (8–1 through 8–7) were generated by PCR amplification of genomic DNA using available sequences of primers (7). PCR products were analyzed by SSCP using non-denaturing MDE gels (BioWhittaker Molecular Applications) overnight at 6 W and 25°C. PCR products that displayed SSCP patterns different from control samples were then sequenced.

For Families 59, 19 and 21, control samples consisted of 90 ethnically diverse samples representative of the US population of unknown hearing status from a commercially available panel (20) and 80–90 DNAs from Caucasians. Restriction digest assays were developed for each mutation to confirm the sequence, to test for segregation of the mutation with the hearing loss and to assay controls. Restriction enzymes used were Hpall (Promega, Madison, WI), HpyCH4III (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), and AvaII (New England Biolabs). For each assay, the relevant fragment of WFS1 exon 8 was amplified using PCR and incubated with the enzyme at 37°C for 1 h. The products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and viewed under UV light.

The V779M mutation abolishes the HpyCH4I-II site in exon 8–6. Digestion with HpyCH4III yields two bands (212 and 144 bp) for the wild-type allele, whereas the DNA of the mutated allele remains uncut (356 bp). The G831D mutation creates a new AvaII site in exon 8–7. After digestion with AvaII, the wild-type allele has two bands (261 and 39 bp), whereas the mutated allele yields three bands of 188, 73 and 39 bp.

presentation condition, suggests to us that these children relied primarily on visual-spatial encoding of the target sequence to perform the task. These results were obtained despite the fact that many of these cochlear implant children did well on the auditory WISC digit span task and on the auditory-only presentation condition of the memory game.

In summary, the present results suggest that even those cochlear implant children who are able to accurately identify speech signals in isolation, may not have phonological working memory mechanisms or processing strategies that are developed to a point equivalent to chronologically agematched normal-hearing children. This outcome would not exactly be surprising, as many important milestones in the development of speech perception and memory are reached during the first 2 yr of life (Aslin, Jusczyk, & Pisoni, 1998; Jusczyk, 1997). Despite their prelingually deafened status, most of the cochlear implant users reported on in this paper received their implant at a point in time when the FDA did not permit implantation of children under 2 yr of age. Additionally, because the implantation procedure requires that candidates show a demonstrated failure to benefit from conventional hearing aids, we can be fairly certain that most of these 8and 9-yr-old children had received only minimal auditory input for at least one quarter to one third of their lives. It should not be surprising, then, that the encoding strategies and working memory mechanisms of pediatric cochlear implant users seem to differ measurably from those of normal-hearing children.

Ongoing research in our lab is attempting to describe in more detail how these encoding/rehearsal mechanisms differ, and what kind of developmental changes can be observed or effected in these children. Increasingly, clinicians are beginning to see pediatric cochlear implant users that have reached ceiling levels of performance on the traditional standardized measures of speech perception and spoken word recognition that are typically used with this population-and yet these children are still clearly having problems with reading and other more advanced language skills that are based on listening, phonological encoding, and other metalinguistic abilities. Further investigation of how pediatric cochlear implant users engage in cognitive processing of information originating from this reintroduced sensory input modality may help us develop new assessment and treatment techniques (Pisoni, 2000). Eventually we would like to answer the question of whether individual differences in the function of particular components of working memory within the pediatric cochlear implant pop

ulation might have a meaningful causal relation to the level of verbal language skill attained by individual children. The present research begins to address this important issue because it provides some of the first behavioral data on working memory in pediatric cochlear implant users involving tasks in which the potential contribution of each available sensory modality was varied.

References

1. Early Alzheimer's Disease: Patterns of Functional MRI Activation-The Neural Substrates of Semantic Memory Deficits. Am J Bra Dis and Tum. 2018; 1(1): 001-010.

2. H Chahal, S W D Souza, A J Barson and P Slater. How to develop human brain using magnesium of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors, Am J Bra Dis and Tum. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

3. F S LaBella, et al.Concepts and correlations related to general anaesthesia and cytochrome P450 oxygenases. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2018; 1(1): 01-05.

4. Hazim J Safi, et al. The long term method with the elephant trunk for the repair of aortic aneurysms. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2018; 1(1): 001-008.

5. Yoshitaka Fujii, et al. Diaphragmatic Fatigue is treated with Inhaled Aminophylline Therapy in an Experimental Canine procedure. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

6. O Demirkiran, et al. Complications in patients with Crush syndrome after the Marmara earthquake. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

7. Qi Wei, et al. Laparoscopic choledochotomy after Biliary drainage: Study. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2018; 1(1): 001-007.

8. Mark Palazzo, et al. Unilateral Babinski/Plantar Reflex - Acute Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2018; 2(1): 01-02.

9. Hakan Alfredson, et al. Achilles and patellar tendon operations performed in local anestesia, Am J Anest and Pai med. 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

10. Naemeh Nikvarz, et al. Evaluation The Analgesic Effect of Duloxtine Drug in Burn Pationts. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2019; 2(1): 01-07.

11. Chuandong Zheng, et al. Intravascular Plaque: Cause for Radial Arterial Catheterization Failure. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2019; 2(1): 01-05.

12. Laura Tyler Perryman, et al. Wireless Dorsal Root Ganglion Stimulation: An Introduction and Early Experience with the New Approach for Chronic Pain Management. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2019; 2(1): 01-04.

13. Lazraq Mohamed, et al. Pediatric Pre-Anesthesia Consultation: What are Parents Expectations?. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2019; 2(1): 01-02.

14. Alaa Ali M. Elzohry, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Intraperitoneal Irrigation of Levo-Bupivacaine plus Morphine in Patients Undergoing Major Abdominal Cancer Surgeries. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2019; 2(1): 01- 07.

15. Yıldız K, et al.Comparison between Anesthesia Methods In Orthopaedics Initiatives of Upper Extremity. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2019; 2(2): 01-03.

16. Jianming Liu, et al. The Analgesic Effects Nalbuphine Hydrochloride Combined With Sufentanil for Patients after Thoracoscopic Lobectomy. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2019; 2(2): 01-03.

17. Fudong Shi, et al. The Patient Controlled Intravenous Analgesia of Dezocine on the Elderly Patients After Orthopedic Surgery. Am J Anest and Pai med. 2019; 2(1): 01-04.

18. GE Meglia, et al. Investigation in blood Leukocytes and Neutrophils in Periparturient Dairy Cow. Sci J of Ani and Vet Sci. 2018; 1(1): 001-009.

19. G E Duhamel, et al.DNA Sequence Analysis of an Immunogenic Glucose-Galactose Mglb. Sci J of Ani and Vet Sci. 2018; 1(1): 001-009.

20. David G. White, et al. Chloramphenicol and Florfenicol Resistance in Escherichia Coli of Characterization . Sci J of Ani and Vet Sci. 2018; 1(1): 001-006.

21. N B Alhaji, et al. Anophthalmia and Choanal Atresia In Two Months Old Kid. Sci J of Ani and Vet Sci. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

22. Christopher W Olsen, et al.Isolation and Characterization of H4N6 Avian and Influenza Viruses. Sci J of Ani and Vet Sci. 2018; 1(1): 001-0025.

23. Teresa Lopez-Arteaga, et al. Apathy as a Psychiatric Manifestation of Meningioma. Am J Bra Dis and Tum. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

24. David R Murdoch, et al. The Use of Brain Natriuretic Peptide- Whole Blood can be Measured, Am J Bra Dis and Tum. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

25. Stefan Brocke, et al. Antibodies to Integrin α 4 and CD44, but not CD62L, Prevent CNS Inflammation and Experimental Encephalomyelitis by Blocking Secondary Leukocyte Recruitment. Am J Bra Dis and Tum. 2018; 1(1): 001-006.

26. Andrew J Saykin, et al. Early Alzheimer's Disease: Patterns of Functional MRI Activation-The Neural Substrates of Semantic Memory Deficits. Am J Bra Dis and Tum. 2018; 1(1): 001-010.

27. P Slater, et al.How to develop human brain using magnesium of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors, Am J Bra Dis and Tum. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

28. Clyde W Hodge, et al. The Paraventricular Nucleus Interactively Modulate Ethanol Consumption -Norepinephrine and Serotonin Receptors, Am J Bra Dis and Tum. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

29. Paulo C Carvalho, et al. Bioinformatics grid application in simple - Squid. Sci J Biome and Biost. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

30. Mahmoud A E Abdelrahman, et al. On The New Exact Solutions for the Nonlinear Models Arising In Plasma Physics. Sci J Biome and Biost. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

31. Weicheng Shen, et al. Based on Personal Identification- Automated Biometrics. Sci J Biome and Biost. 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

32. V Prasathkumar, et al.Fingerprint Biometric System -Using of Personal Authentication. Sci J Biome and Biost. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

33. SavitaChoudhary, et al. Software Development Environment : Design of Biometric Based Transaction System. Sci J Biome and Biost . 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

34. D J Lawrence, et al. Measuring the effectiveness in reliability and validity of a visual function outcomes instrument in cataract surgery. Sci J Biome and Biost. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

35. Z Suvakovic, et al. Evaluation of early detection of gastric cancer requries more than gastroscopy. Anna of Can Ther and Phar. 2018; 1(1): 05.

36. Ho GY, et al. Informing and involving personalised computer based data for cancer patients. Anna of Can Ther and Phar. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

37. Ray Jones, et al. Prostate Cancer Risk is associated with Polymorphism of Insulin gene. Anna of Can Ther and Phar. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

38. : Jean-Pierre J. Issa, et al. Role of DNA Methylation in Tumor Suppressor Gene Silencing in Colorectal Cancer. Anna of Can Ther and Phar. 2018; 1(1): 001-008.

39. Jules J Berman, et al. Histological classification of tumour and molecular analysis meets Aristotle. Anna of Can Ther and Phar. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

40. Kafil Akhtar, et al. Tuberculosis of the Tongue with Coexistent Squamous Cell Carcinoma: An Interesting Case Presentation, Anna of Can Ther and Phar. 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

41. Serafin Morales Murillo, et al. Vitamin D as A Prognostic Factor in Triple Negative Breast Cancer. Anna of Can Ther and Phar. 2019; 2(1): 01-08.

42. Ahmet Fuat, et al. A Qualitative Study of Accurate Diagnosis and Effective Management of Heart Failure in Primary Care. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2018; 1(1): 01-05.

43. Jesús Millán Núñez-Cortés, et al. Prescription Habits for Statins in Patients with Impaired Glucose Metabolism. Results of a program with Focus Groups to Assess the Selection Criteria. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2019; 1(1): 01-04.

44. G D Kolovou, et al. Evaluation of Postprandial hypertriglyceridaemia in patients withTangier disease. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2018; 1(1): 01-04.

45. Brian O rourke, et al. Determination of The Mitochondrial Redox Waves and Subcellular Metabolic Transients in Heart Cells. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2018; 1(1): 01-04.
46. Shuixiang Yang, et al. Radiofrequency Ablation Treating Atrial Fibrillation Can Reverse the Changes of Mirnas Regulating Ion Channel Proteins. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2018; 1(1): 01-08.

47. Hadi abdulsalam Abo Aljadayel, et al. Penetrating War Cardiac and Great Vessels Injury, Surgical Outcome Analysis in 24 Patients. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2018; 1(2): 01-05.

48. Hatice Yorulmaz, et al. Assessment of the Death Anxiety and Death Depression Levels of Cardiac Patients. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2019; 2(1): 01-06.

49. Camara Abdoulaye, et al. Cardiomyopathie Du Peripartum Compliquee D'accident Vasculaire Cerebral Cas D'une Guinéenne De 19ans : Cas Clinique. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2019; (1): 01-03.

50. Sergio F. Estrada-Orihuela, et al. Lasalocid, Interrupts and Reverses, Within One Minute, The Myocardial Damage Caused By Coronary Anoxia Reperfusion in Rat Heart. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2019; (1): 01-05.

51. Jesus Millan Nunez-Cortes, et al. Prescription Habits for Statins in Patients with Impaired Glucose Metabolism. Results of a program with Focus Groups to Assess the Selection Criteria. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2019; 1(1): 01-06.

52. Federico Cacciapuoti, et al. The Dilemma of Diastolic Heart Failure. Am J of Card and Cardiovas Disc. 2019; 1(1): 01-03.

53. Elad Boaz, Bowel Ischemia and Vascular Air-Fluid Levels. Anna Cas Rep and Ima Surg. 2018; 1(1): 001-00.

54. Sinisa Franjic, et al. A Patient With A Maxillofacial Problem. Anna Cas Rep and Ima Surg. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

55. Davidson W, et al. Case Presentation: Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [HPS]. Anna Cas Rep and Ima Surg. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

56. Farid ZM, et al. Uropathy Secondary Chronic obstructive to Ureter Inguinal Herniation. Anna Cas Rep and Ima Surg. 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

57. De Letter DJ, et al. Cornual Molar Ectopic Pregnancy Diagnosis and Treatment. Anna Cas Rep and Ima Surg. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

58. Ameni Touati, et al. Silver Russell Syndrome: Case Reports from North Africa and Review on The Literature. Anna Cas Rep and Ima Surg. 2019; 1(1): 001- 004.

59. Kunst WM, et al. Case Reports and Review of Spontaneous Rupture of Hyperreactive Malarial Splenomegaly [HMS]. Anna Cas Rep and Ima Surg. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

60. F Hanefeld, et al. A Review of The Literature an Emerging Community Pathogen methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus. Anna Cas Rep and Ima Surg. 2018; 1(1): 001-0011.

61. Page W Caufield, et al. Evidence for a Discrete Window of Infectivity. Am J Den and Ora Car. 2018; 1(1): 001-006.

62. Robert T Dirksen, et al. Dihydropyridine Receptors and Ryanodine Receptors: Bi-Directional Coupling . Am J Den and Ora Car. 2018; 1(1): 001-009.

63. IJ Jacobs, et al. Cancer and Intraepithelial Neoplasia-Tissue-specific apoptotic effects of the p53 codon 72 polymorphism . Am J Den and Ora Car. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

64. Iain L C Chapple, et al. Human Immunodeficiency Virus disease in oral health significances. Am J Den and Ora Car. 2018; 1(1): 001-007.

65. H Larjava, et al. Activity of $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Integrin in Oral Leukoplakia. Am J Den and Ora Car. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

66. Siddharth Kothari, et al. Effectiveness of Counselling and Home Care Self-Management Strategies in Reducing Masticatory Muscle Pain: A Review. Am J Den and Ora Car. 2019; 2(1): 001-007.

67. Betania Maria Soares, et al. Use of Blue LED and Curcumin for Photosensitization of Candida Albicans. Am J Den and Ora Car. 2019; 2(1): 001-005.

68. Jing Guo, et al. Advances in Methods of Maxillary Transverse Expansion. Am J Den and Ora Car. 2019; 2(1): 01-05.
69. Dario C. Altieri, et al. Cell division by p34cdc2 phosphorylation of survivin- Regulation . Sci J of Der and Ven. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

70. Axel Trautmann, et al. Eczematous dermatitis: T cell and keratinocyte apoptosis plays a key pathogenetic . Sci J of Der and Ven. 2018; 1(1): 001-007.

71. JD Fine, et al. Epidermolysis bullosa Cardiomyopathy in inherited . Sci J of Der and Ven. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

72. NE Fusenig, et al. Human Skin Angiogenic Switch Occurs Squamous Cell Carcinomas . Sci J of Der and Ven. 2018; 1(1): 001-007.

73. Tapani Tuomi, et al. Water- Damaged Building and Mycotoxins in Crude Building Materials. Sci J of Der and Ven. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

74. John S Davies, et al. The Use of Social Media among Doctors Under taking a Post-Graduate Endocrinology Diploma. Sci J Endo and Meta. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

75. Juan J Gagliardino, et al. By Short-Term Dietary Manipulation: The Endocrine Pancreas Activity of Tyrosine Hydroxylase. Sci J Endo and Meta. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

76. Colin A. Leech, et al. The Glucose Dependent in Pancreatic β -Cells : Voltage-Independent Calcium Channels Mediate Slow Oscillations of Cytosolic CalciumPancreatic β -Cells. Sci J Endo and Meta. 2018; 1(1): 001-009.

77. Colin A. Leech, et al. The Voltage-Independent Activation of Inward Membrane Currents and Elevation of Intracellular Calcium in HIT-T15 Insulinoma CellsPituitary Adenylate Cyclase-Activating Polypeptide Induces. Sci J Endo and Meta. 2018; 1(1): 001-008.

78. Suhail AR Doi, et al. Making Use Of Combined Criteria - Diagnostic Criteria For Diabetes. Sci J Endo and Meta. 2018; 1(1): 001-006.

79. Maria I Borelli, et al. Effect Of Endogenous Islet Catecholamines Possible Modulatory On Insulin Secretion. Sci J Endo and Meta. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

80. Louis Irwin, et al. Effect of exercise in combination with dietary nopal and zucchini on chronic and acute glucohomeostasis in genetically obese mice. Inte J Expe Bio. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

81. Vijaya Saradhi Settaluri, et al. Validation of Non Essential Amino Acids and Total Protein Content in Different Categories of Tea. Inte J Expe Bio. 2018; 1(1): 01-04.

82. Patrick D Craig, et al. T Antigen: Polyomavirus Middle of Natural Biology. Inte J Expe Bio. 2018; 1(1): 001-007.

83. Yoshinori Ohsumi, et al. The HIV Coreceptor CCR5 -Recycling and Endocytosis. Inte J Expe Bio. 2018; 1(1): 001-008.
84. Marino Zerial, et al. Elicitation of the Angiogenic Phenotype1: Transforming Myc Protein for In Vivo. Inte J Expe Bio. 2018; 1(1): 001-008.

85. Zhang Y, et al. Odorant Receptor In Mammali : The Caenorhabditis Elegans Seven-Transmembrane Protein ODR-10

Functions on Cells. Inte J Expe Bio. 2019; 1(1): 001-008.

86. Kazuo Maeda, et al. Improved Outcome with Novel Studies in Fetal Monitoring. Sci J of Gyne and Obste. 2019; 2(1): 001-004.

87. Sunil J. Wimalawansa, et al. Vitamin D Deficiency-Related Reproductive Consequences. Sci J of Gyne and Obste. 2019; 2(1): 001-006.

88. Munch A, et al.Investigation in blood Leukocytes and Neutrophils in Periparturient Dairy Cow. Sci J of Gas and Hepa. 2018; 1(1): 001-006.

89. Jie Song Hua, et al. Primary Helicobacter Pylori Resistance to Clarithromycin and Metronidazole in Singapore. Sci J of Gas and Hepa. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

90. Paul Moayyedi, et al. A Systematic Review and Economic Analysis: Proton Pump Inhibitors in Nonulcer Dyspepsia Efficacy. Sci J of Gas and Hepa. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

91. Zhen-Ning Wang, et al.Gastric Cancer: Collagen IV Expression and Biological Behavior. Sci J of Gas and Hepa. 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

92. Zhen-Ning Wang, et al. A Possible Pathophysiologic Contribution to Necrotizing Enterocolitis: Human Intestine Inflammation. Sci J of Gas and Hepa. 2018; 1(1): 001-006.

93. Paul M Wassarman, et al. Egg Interaction during Mammalian Fertilization in the Molecular Basis of Sperm . Sci J of Gyne and Obste 2018; 1(1): 001-006.

94. Mary Lou Moore, et al. Breastfeeding Benefits Support -Research. Sci J of Gyne and Obste 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

95. Pepita Gimenez-Bonafe, et al. Preservation of Fertility in Patients with Cancer. Sci J of Gyne and Obste 2018; 1(2): 001-006.

96. Yueyang F Fei, et al. Non-Hemorrhagic Unilateral Adrenal Infarct In Pregnancy: A Case Report. Sci J of Gyne and Obste. 2019; 1(1): 001-002.

97. Karen Pierre, et al. Protein-Energy Adequacy of Dialysis Patients in Trinidad and Tobago. Am J of Nep and Ther. 2018; 1(1): 01-05.

98. Balakrishna N, Tenckhoff Catheter Surgical under Local Anesthesia. Am J of Nep and Ther. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

99. J T Ohlsson, et al. Man in angiotensin and noradrenaline inhibits the Endothelin. Am J of Nep and Ther. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

100. David J, et al. Apoptosis and Ischemic Renal Injury Reduce the Guanosine Supplementation. Am J of Nep and Ther. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

101. R W Baldeweg, et al. Tumor-induced osteomalacia : Cloning and characterization of Fibroblast Growth Factor 23. Am J of Nep and Ther. 2018; 1(1): 001-006.

102. Amitabh Arya, et al. Post Pyeloplasty Follow Up In Children And Adolescents: Diuretic Renography Or Renal Ultrasonography? Am J of Nep and Ther. 2019; 2(1): 001-005.

103. Amitabh Arya, et al. Post Pyeloplasty Follow Up In Children And Adolescents: Diuretic Renography Or Renal Ultrasonography? Am J of Nep and Ther. 2019; 2(1): 001-005.

104. Richard Lechtenberg, et al. Tau Interferon in Multiple Sclerosis. Amer J Neur & Neurophysi. 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

105. Eva Guy Rodriguez, et al. Discussion of the differential diagnosis of bilateral thalamic lesions-Bilateral thalamic infarcts due to occlusion of the Artery of Percheron. Amer J Neur & Neurophysi. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

106. Yhashi Chang, et al. IVIg for Miller Fisher syndrome: Cerebral infarction. Amer J Neur & Neurophysi. 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

107. Fredrick J. Seil, et al. T cell responses to Myelin Antigens and Antimyelin Antibodies. Amer J Neur & Neurophysi. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

108. Y Niimi, et al. Embolization of Spinal Cord AVMs: Neurophysiologic Provocative Testing. Amer J Neur & Neurophysi. 2018; 1(1): 001-002.

109. Ameni Touati, et al. Some Reducibility Results for Differentiable Sets. Amer J Neur & Neurophysi. 2019; 1(1): 001-005.

110. Chrisostomos Sofoudis, et al. Sofoudis C. Septic Abortion Accompanied with Dessiminated Intravascular Coagulation and Acute Cardiomyopathy Presentation of a Rare Case and Mini Review. Am J Nur & Pract. 2018;1(1): 001-00.

111. Nick Jones, et al. Nurse Practitioners and Family Physicians Ethics Health Care Services. Am J Nur & Pract. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

112. Thomas R A, et al. Human Infants Learning by Prenatal and Postnatal Flavor . Am J Nur & Pract. 2018; 1(1): 001- 006.

113. D. J Wise, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled - Milk Production in Mothers of PremaTure Newborns Domperidone Drug effect. Am J Nur & Pract. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

114. Bronagh Bufton, et al. Effects of Nursing Homes Ownership Compromise the Quality of Care. Am J Nur & Pract. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.

115. Kerstin Ekberg, et al. How Physicians Deal With the Task of Sickness Certification in Cause-Based and Comprehensive Disability Systems – A Scoping Review. Am J Nur & Pract. 2019; 2(1): 01-10.

116. Michael J. Vives, et al. Factors in Choosing the Surgical Approach: Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy. Am J Orth and Rhe. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

117. M. Runge, et al. Geriatric Patients in Balance Training and Exercise. Am J Orth and Rhe. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

118. Ukoha Ukoha, et al. Nutrient Foramina in Long Bones : Study. Am J Orth and Rhe. 2018; 1(1): 001-003.

119. Zhiquan An, et al. Human Humeral Diaphysis of the Nutrient Foramina : Anatomical Study : Study. Am J Orth and Rhe. 2018; 1(1): 001-007.

K-P Günther, et al. Hip Replacement in Rates: International Variation: Study. Am J Orth and Rhe. 2018; 1(1): 001-005.
Saeed Taj din, et al. Level of Physical Activity among Diabetic Patients of Rural and Urban Areas. Am J Orth and Rhe. 2019; 2(1): 001-004.

122. Carolina Caleza Jiménez, et al. Breastfeeding, Bed-Sharing and Early Childhood Caries. Is There An Association? A Review of the Literature. Am J Pedi and Heal care. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

123. Katarzyna Niewiadomska-Jarosik, et al. Lipid Profile in Children Born As Small for Gestational Age . Am J Pedi and Heal care 2018; 2(1): 01-03.

124. Mustafa Aydin, et al. Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern and Clinical Features of Klebsiella Sepsis in Newborn Infants. Am J Pedi and Heal care 2019; 1(1): 01-04.

125. H Dele Davies, et al. Necrotizing Fasciitis- Flesh-Eating Bacteria Disease. Am J Pedi and Heal care 2019; 1(1): 01-06.

126. Marie Westwood, et al. The diagnosis of urinary tract infection (UTI) in children under five years: Rapid tests and urine sampling techniques. Am J Pedi and Heal care 2019; 1(1): 01-09.

127. Folkert Fehr, et al. What Entrustable Professional Activities Add To a Primary Care Residency Curriculum. Am J Pedi and Heal care 2019; 2(1): 01-06.

128. Sonya Martin, et al. Spatially Modulated Illumination MicroscopyD measures the size of Biological Nanostructures . Ann of Phar Nano Tech and Nanomedi. 2018; 1(1): 01-05.

129. Sonya Martin, et al. Genetic analysis of Fis interactions with their binding sites. Ann of Phar Nano Tech and Nanomedi. 2018; 1(1): 01-07.

130. John H Reif, et al. Nucleation assembly of DNA tile complexes is directed by barcode-patterned lattices. Ann of Phar Nano Tech and Nanomedi. 2018; 1(1): 01-07.

131. Thomas H LaBean, et al. Self assembly of DNA nanotubes from triple-crossover tiles as templates for conductive nanowires. Ann of Phar Nano Tech and Nanomedi. 2018; 1(1): 01-05.

132. Ulrich Kettling, et al. Dual-Photon Fluorescence Coincidence Analysis: Rapid quantification of Enzyme activity. Ann of Phar Nano Tech and Nanomedi. 2018; 1(1): 01-05.

133. Ahmed R. Gardouh, et al. Design, Optimization and In-Vitro Evaluation of Antifungal Activity of Nanostructured Lipid Carriers of Tolnaftate Ann of Phar Nano Tech and Nanomedi. 2019; 2(1): 01-05.

134. Mohammed Khalid, et al. Khalid M. Predictors of Prognosis in Pulmonary Hypertension. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

135. Abdullah Alsaeedi, et al. The Prevalence of Smoking among sample of Kuwait Asthmatics and its impact on the response of the treatment, Anna Pul and Crit Car Med. 2018; 1(2): 001-002.

136. Nicolau Beckmann, et al. Resolving the Oedematous Signals Induced by OVA Challenge in the Lungs of Actively Sensitised Rats. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 1(1): 01-06.

137. Thomas J walsh, et al. Investigate the performance of non-invasive diagnostic tests such as galactomannan enzyme immunoassay and quantitative Caspofungin in the early diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis (IA). Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 1(1): 01-06.

138. Charles B. Huddleston, et al. Lung Transplantation in pediatrics. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 1(1): 01-05.

139. Jeffrey P. Lamont, et al. Comparision of valved vs non-valved implantable ports for vascular access: A randomized trial. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 1(1): 01-03.

140. D Inwald, et al. Risk and relevance of open lung biopsy in Nonneonatal extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) patients. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 1(1): 01-04.

141. Guillaume Mortamet, et al. Does Esophageal Pressure Monitoring Reliably Permit To Estimate Trans pulmonary Pressure In Children?. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 2(2): 01-05.

142. Yang Jin, et al. Extracellular Vesicle-Shuttling MicroR-NAs Regulate the Development of Inflammatory Lung Responses. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 1(2): 01-04.

143. Nicola Clemente, et al. Pneumonectomy As A Salvage Therapy: A Rare Indication For A Gastric Malt Lymphoma Disseminated To The Lung. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 1(2): 01-04.

144. Nicola Clemente, et al. Pneumonectomy As A Salvage Therapy: A Rare Indication For A Gastric Malt Lymphoma Disseminated To The Lung. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med.. 2018; 1(2): 01-04.

145. Victor Chew, et al. Pulmonary Cement Embolism. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med. 2019; 2(1): 01-02.

146. Victor Chew, et al. An Unusual Cause of a Tension Pneumothorax. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med. 2019; 2(1): 01-03.

147. Mark C. Lavigne, et al. A Performance Summary of Agents Used in Oral Care for Non-Ventilated and Mechanically-Ventilated Patients. Anna Pul and Crit Car Med. 2019; 2(2): 01-34.

148. Elisangela Hermes, et al. Psychomotricity in Vestibular Dysfunction Therapy (VDT): A Collective Health Question. Am J Rhin and Otolo. 2018; 1(1): 001- 005.

149. Ramtej J Verma, et al. Diethanolamine-Induced Hepatic Injury and Its Amelioration by Curcumin. Am J Toxi and Res. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

150. Chee Kong Yap, et al. A Preliminary Screening of Cd and Pb Concentrations in the Some Traditional Chinese Herbal Medicines Bought From Selected Shops in Peninsular Malaysia. Am J Toxi & Res. 2018; 1(1): 001-004.

151. Geza Bozoky, et al. Acute Silent Non-Massive (submassive) Pulmonary Embolism. Am J Ang and Surg . 2018; 1(1): 001-003.